

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,279	02/13/2001	Nitin Nayak	YOR9-2000-0574US1	9443
30743 7:	590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C.			PATEL, JAGDISH	
	T HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 340			ART GNIT	PAPER NOMBER
RESTON, VA	20190 .		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/781,279	NAYAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· .	JAGDISH PATEL	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	pril 200 <u>6</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa) ₋ 152)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ист Арриовион (СТС	, 10Lj			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/781,279

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 4/11/06.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended and new claims 9-11 have been added.
- 3. The specification has been amended as per request.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Carpenter reference have been considered. Accordingly, and further in consideration of Declaration under 37 CFR §131 the prior art rejections of claims 1, 2 and 4 over the Carpenter reference have been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, the pending claims have been found non-statutory under 35 USC §101 as explained in detail in the following paragraphs. The claims are rendered indefinite under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1,4 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, recites limitations "allowing a vendor to form a coalition...", " managing the request proposal tree". These limitations render the claim indefinite because the allowing is an act of providing permission to so some act but it does not necessarily result in the act being carried out. In the instant claim, allowing a vendor to form a coalition may not result in actual formation of a coalition as claimed. Likewise the process of "managing" is broad and unclear because one of ordinary skill in the art cannot ascertain the specific acts involved in the process of managing.

Claim 1 recites limitation "the request proposal tree" which lacks positive antecedent basis. Note that the allowing step refers to "creating a request for a proposal tree". Furthermore this step of creating a request for a proposal tree is only recited as an intended function in conditional terms "allowing ...thereby creating a request for a proposal tree".

Claim 1 recites the limitation "by controlling access to contained information".

However, it unclear how this information related to any other limitation.

Claim 1 recites "selecting a preferred coalition from coalition alternates at each level of ...the tree". However, this feature lacks positive antecedent basis because the claim does not specify "coalition alternates at level of the request proposal tree" in the claim.

This analysis also applies to dependent claims 10-11, and claims 4-8.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,279

Art Unit: 3624

35 USC 101 Rejection

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The invention may be statutory subject matter if the invention meets the practical application requirement, i.e. the invention as a whole produces a "useful, concrete and tangible result." See *State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc.* 149 F.3d 1368, 1973, 47 USPQ2d (BNA) 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1998).

The aforementioned claims do not expressly or implicitly recite machine implementation. The steps of translating the request for proposal into demanded capabilities can be performed manually by reading the proposal, analyzing the requirements thereof and listing the capabilities required by the proposal. Similarly, the process of matching, generating, allowing, managing, selecting and aggregating are all process steps which can be performed by one more human entities. Therefore, the process steps are disembodied since no machine or computer implementation is recited. The claimed steps do not require transformation of any physical subject matter, such as an electrical signal, into a different state or thing. The computational steps of the aforementioned claims are directed to abstract idea of aggregating coalitions to respond to an initial request for proposal from a customer. Because the acts such as translating the request for proposal into demanded capabilities and matching the demanded capabilities with registered

Application/Control Number: 09/781,279

Art Unit: 3624

vendor capabilities are performed manually, one cannot achieve "concrete and tangible" outcome of such manual acts.

Therefore, it is concluded that the claims fail to meet the "practical application" requirements under 35 USC §101 because they fail to produce "concrete, tangible and useful" result.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

lastrulato

6/14/06